EXHIBIT C

```
1
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
                           DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
 3
 4
        In Re: Bair Hugger Forced Air ) File No. 15-MD-2666
 5
        Warming Devices Products
                                        ) (JNE/DTS)
        Liability Litigation
 6
                                           September 6, 2018
                                           Minneapolis, Minnesota
 7
                                           Courtroom 9E
                                           9:00 a.m.
 8
 9
10
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID T. SCHULTZ
                      UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
                             (MOTIONS HEARING)
13
       APPEARANCES
14
       FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
                                   MESHBESHER & SPENCE LTD.
                                    Genevieve M. Zimmerman
15
                                    1616 Park Avenue
                                    Minneapolis, MN 55404
16
       FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                                    BLACKWELL BURKE P.A.
17
                                    Mary Young
                                    Peter Goss
                                    Ben Hulse
18
                                    431 South Seventh Street
                                    Suite 2500
19
                                    Minneapolis, MN 55415
20
21
       COURT REPORTER:
                                    Maria V. Weinbeck, RMR, FCRR
                                    U.S. Courthouse
22
                                    300 South Fourth Street
                                    Suite 1005
23
                                    Minneapolis, MN 55415
24
                 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography;
25
       transcript produced by computer.
```

not allowed to get into, and then to get into the case specific workup.

So if we had changed the caption, if we had changed the caption and instead of saying for Dr. Bushnell, say that this is Axline specific, and say that he's going to offer the following as impeachment if he's called during Axline, would that be permitted?

THE COURT: No, that wouldn't change it. I agree with you, but the point is his opinions are, the plaintiff isn't saying this is only relevant to the Axline case, which I think you've conceded, and I get that. I understand you're really making a different argument.

What I'm saying is that as I understand the Court's prior orders, if you want to have Dr. Bushnell testify in the Axline trial, what you would have to do is have Dr. Bushnell or Dr. Elghobashi, or however you pronounce it --

MS. ZIMMERMAN: Well done, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- actually model the Axline surgery suite and run computational fluid dynamics computational fluid dynamics in that suite, and then say given the particulars of that surgery suite X follows or Y follows or what have you. And so I mean I think the issue just simply comes down to this is a rebuttal to nothing that is newly disclosed. It's beyond the time period for general